

FFY23 AK-500 CoC Competition Renewal Application Scoring Rubric					
Question	Applicant Type	Comparable Database Excluded	Maximum Points	Scoring Category	Scoring Notes
Part IV					
A1d. Percentage of beds providing housing and services to individuals who have high service needs	PSH		5	SPM	For these SPM questions, we will take the average performance for each metric across all existing projects. An average score, or within 10% of the average metric, will receive a score of 3. Scores will increase or decrease by one point each 10% beyond the high/low points of the average score range, with a maximum of 5 points and a minimum of 0 points.
A2c. Percentage of clients remained housed with your project or exited to another permanent housing destination during the most recently completed project year	PSH		5	SPM	
A3c. Has your project contributed to a system-wide reduction of returns to homelessness?	PSH	X	5	SPM	
B1b. How long does it take, on average, for your clients to access housing?	PSH/RRH		5	SPM	
C1d. Percentage of clients exited to a permanent housing destination	RRH/TH		5	SPM	
D1b. What is the average number of days clients are enrolled in your project?	TH		5	SPM	
E1a. Percentage of project stayers with new or increased employment cash income	All		5	SPM	
E1b. Percentage of project stayers with new or increased non-employment cash income (SSI/SSDI, etc)	All		5	SPM	
E2a. Percentage of project leavers with new or increased employment cash income	All		5	SPM	
E2b. Percentage of project leavers with new or increased non-employment cash income (SSI/SSDI, etc)	All		5	SPM	
E3. Percentage of project participants who returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	All with at least 2 years of data, otherwise N/A	X	5	SPM	
E4. Percentage of clients entered as referrals from Coordinated Entry Community-wide Prioritization list	All	X	5	SPM	
F. Average Bed Utilization	All		5	SPM	
G1. Data Completeness/Timeliness UDE/AKSDE/DV/HUD	All	X	5	OBJECTIVE	
G2. Data Completeness/Timeliness Annual Assessment Percentage	All	X	5	OBJECTIVE	
G3. Data Completeness/Timeliness Entry Records Timeliness Percentage	All	X	5	OBJECTIVE	
G4. Data Completeness/Timeliness Exit Records Timeliness Percentage	All	X	5	OBJECTIVE	
Part V					
A. Average Percentage Expended of grant funds	All		10	OBJECTIVE	
Part VI					
A. Use the CoC common assessment tool	All		5	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
B. Participate in case conferencing in their referral zone	All		5	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
E. Receive referrals from the local CE community-wide prioritization list	All		5	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
F. Filled 100% of project vacancies with referrals from the CE community-wide prioritization list	All	X	5	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
Part VII					
A. Project is low barrier and adheres to Housing First requirements	All		10	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
Part VIII					
1b. How does this project fill a specific need within referral zone. Was a gaps analysis conducted to identify this need using data? Why is this project needed in your community?	All		5	NARRATIVE	Scored by rank & review committee
1c. Which of the HUD priorities listed in the NOFO does this project address and how does this project address them?	All		3	NARRATIVE	Scored by rank & review committee
3a. Does this project leverage CoC dollars with philanthropic or local funding sources?	All		3	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
3b. Is a healthcare MOU attached that clearly outlines official partnership with healthcare organization, services provided, and cost of those services?	All		5	OBJECTIVE	All or nothing
4a. Summary of measurable performance goals for the project	All		5	NARRATIVE	Scored by rank & review committee
5. How is your organization addressing equity and inclusion for underserved communities	All		6	NARRATIVE	Scored by rank & review committee
5d. How do you incorporate the feedback of those with lived expertise?	All		5	NARRATIVE	Scored by rank & review committee
6. Has your organization ensured that under-represented individuals are represented in staff, leadership/management, and decision-making positions.	All		6	NARRATIVE	Scored by rank & review committee
		Total	163		